



A Reflection on Khwājah Naṣīr al-Dīn Ṭūsī's Responses to the Philosophers' Objections to the Religious Doctrine of God's Power

A'zam Irajnia¹

The divine power is one of the issues that the Muslim theologians and philosophers explored it from old times. Some of the Islamic philosophers consider the creation of the universe on the basis of essential power and will and then the universe as eternal. The theologians, on the contrary, believe that God at first leaved the creation of the world and then His power belonged to the creation of the world. Thus the universe is created. The philosophers do not accept their view and impose many objections on them. Khwājah Ṭūsī answers the objections of philosophers. By a library method and analytic way, this writing wants to analyze the objections of the philosophers and examine the answers of Khwājah Naṣīr to them from two angles: answers on the basis of the theological views and answers on the basis of the theological-philosophical way of Khwājah Naṣīr himself. The result of the analysis of these two philosophical and theological thoughts is that the answers of Khwājah to the objections on the pure theological views of the theologians are not sufficient. But despite the apparent conflict between his view and the philosophers' view about God's power, by distinguishing between the philosophers' ontological view and Khwājah Naṣīr's epistemological view, a solution can be found to the apparent conflict between the philosophers' and Khwājah's views on God's power.

Keywords: God's power, Khwājah Naṣīr al-Dīn Ṭūsī, possibility, epistemology, ontology.

The Muslim Thinkers Encounter with the Challenge of Thinking and Surrender

Fathullah Najjarzadegan¹

SayedMohammad MosaviMoghaddam²

Sayed Adel Mosavi³

Although there is no opposition between the level of innate knowledge and the understanding of the principles of values, at first glance there seems an opposition and duality between knowledge and surrender. Rational knowledge also prepares, instead of duality, the background for surrender. Rationally there is no opposition between imitation and reasoning. The main part of this confrontation is due to ignoring reason's command to its limitations and mistakes, which brings about the roots of clashes and, consequently, varieties of confrontations. With a descriptive-analytic method, this article tried to explain the encounter of some Ash'rite, Mu'tazilite and Shi'ite thinkers to the duality of thinking and surrender and show their resolutions to solve this challenge. It can be concluded that we are faced with a wide range of different views on the approach of reason in dealing with religious discussions and how to deduce the jurisprudential rules in Islam. Some groups consider it as necessary to use reason and rational reasons as a source and origin to gain this knowledge. The Mu'tazilite and Shiite theological schools are part of this group.

Keywords: Quran, surrender, thinking, reason, Shi'a, Mu'tazilites, Ash'rites.

-
1. Professor of Paradise Farabi of University of Tehran; email: najjarzadegan@ut.ac.ir
 2. Assistant professor of Paradise Farabi of University of Tehran;
email: sm.mmoqaddam@ut.ac.ir
 3. Doctoral student of Qur'an and Hadith at Paradise Farabi of University of Tehran;
email: Adelmousavi41@gmail.com

Rational Argumentation Methods in the Kalāmī School of Shiraz¹

Mohsen Ahmadi^۲

Mehdi Nusratian Ahur^۳

Mohammad Ranjbar Hoseini^۴

Deduction of the Kalāmī teachings which is one of the most important duties of the Kalām knowledge is performed on the basis of rational and narrative ways. In the present article which is collected in a library and descriptive way, the rational argumentation methods in the Kalāmī School of Shiraz has been examined. It was a school that for three centuries that are the eighth/fourteenth, ninth/fifteenth and tenth/sixteenth centuries was the basic center for a group of great thinkers like MīrSayyid Sharīf Jurjānī, Ṣadr ul-Dīn Dashtakī, Jalāl ul-Dīn Dawwānī, Ghiyāth ul-Dīn Manṣūr Dashtakī, Muḥaqqiq khafī and.... By describing and analyzing the rational arguments used for defending the beliefs as well as the Kalāmī books existed in this school, one can understand that the thinkers of this school were theologian-philosophers and can discover their methods of rational argumentations in deduction of the Kalāmī propositions. Because of its history of foundation by some Sunny theologians and then converting its approach to Shi'ism, this Kalāmī school did not use the practical reason so much in the belief issues, but used theoretical reason by ways such as impossibility of circulation, infinite chain of causes, preferring without a preferable and arguments like contradiction (khulf) argument, priori reason, posteriori reason, sabr and taḥsīm (test and division) argument, taḍāyuf (mutual relation) argument, wāḥid and majmū' (single and total) argument, correspondence and also rational consequence.

Keywords: rational argumentation methods, the Kalāmī School of Shiraz, argumentation, practical reason, theoretical reason.

-
1. This article is taken of an MA dissertation.
 2. Doctoral student at the University of Quran and Hadith of Qom;
email: ahmadiimohsen@gmail.com
 3. Assistant professor of the University of Quran and Hadith of Qom and a continuous member of the Islamic Kalām Association at Qom Seminary; email: mehdi.nusratian@gmail.com
 4. Assistant professor of the University of Quran and Hadith of Qom and a continuous member of the Islamic Kalām Association at Qom Seminary; email: ranjbarhosseini@gmail.com

Methodic Examination and Discovering the Varieties of Fallacy in the Wahhabis' Criticisms against Shi'ism

Mohammad Ali Mohammadi¹

Criticism is one of the influential ways for evaluation of views and thoughts and discerning the strong and weak points of the authors' works. Using this way one can distinguish between right and wrong. Amongst the ways of criticism, the methodic criticism is of many priorities. Written in a descriptive-analytic method and on the basis of library sources, this research is based on this hypothesis that the Wahhabis specially the author of the book 'Uṣūlu Madhhab al-Shi'a' committed fallacies in many cases because of incorrect hypotheses, revenge and jealousy, cultural difference, scholarly weakness and so on. The examination shows that the book 'Uṣūlu Madhhab al-Shi'a', instead of using scientific method, used fallacies of personal attack, lie, inadequate generalization, distortion, incorrect interpretation, fabricated cause, claim of improvisation, resorting to false source, provoking emotions and allegorical fallacy and did not use a scientific method to criticize Shi'ism.

Keywords: Wahhabiyyah, Shi'a studies, fallacy, criticism, al-Ḳifārī, 'Uṣūlu Madhhab al-Shi'a.

1. A faculty member of the Islamic Culture and Sciences Academy;
email: m.mohammadi@isca.ac.ir



Examination of the Al-Wāḥid Rule from the Viewpoint of Rukn al-Dīn Ibn Malāḥimī al-Khwārazmī

Mehdi 'Asgari'
Mansur Nasiri²

The al-Wāḥid rule (the one does not emanate of it except one) is one of the important and controversial philosophical rules that had been paid attention by philosophers and theologians. From the beginning of its setting forth in the Islamic world, this theory has been accepted by the philosophers and denied by many of theologians. Philosophers like al-Fārābī and Avicenna have set forth and proved this theory in the Islamic world. One can say that all the important demonstrations to prove this rule have been set forth by Avicenna. In this regard, by numerous evidences such as limitedness in God's power or regarding God as being an obliged agent, the theologians apposed this theory. One of these theologians is Ibn Malāḥimī al-Khwārazmī that criticized this rule on the basis of incoherence of this rule with the speeches of the philosophers themselves as well as claiming limitedness of the Divine power. This article examined the history of the al-Wāḥid rule, its conceptual clarification and the Ibn Malāḥimī al-Khwārazmī's arguments and criticisms in order.

Keywords: the al-Wāḥid rule, Ibn Malāḥimī al-Khwārazmī, emanation, obliged agent.

Abstracts



Examination of Frederick Robert Tennant's View on the Cosmos Order as a whole

Farah Ramin¹

Robert Tennant is one of the first philosophers who tried to solve the conflict between the Order Argument and the theory of evolution. Accepting the theory of evolution, he emphasized on the purposeful characteristic of the evolution process. His inductive explanation of the Order Argument is based on the order in the world as a whole and he draw the world by its intertwined plurality of six coherent species. Basis on the epistemological and ontological aspects of beauty in the natural world is one of the advantages of this argument. In this research, by a descriptive-analytic method, at first we tried to explain Tennant Argument and then give an adequate evaluation of it on the basis of the Islamic thought. The achievements of the research show that Tennant's view about the world as a whole is comparable with the theory of personal unity of the natural world in the Islamic thought and the theory of evolution, assuming it is correct, is not in conflict with proving prudence in the whole universe.

Keywords: Frederick Robert Tennant, the Order Argument, aesthetic order, inductive explanations, the theory of evolution, of personal unity of the world.

1. Associate professor of Islamic Kalām and Philosophy department, Qom University;
email: f.ramin@qom.ac.ir



Tahqīqāt-e Kalāmi. Vol.8, No.29, July-September 2020

3 4 5 6 7 8 9



Tahqīqāt-e Kalāmi
Islamic Theology Studies
An Academic Quarterly
Islamic Theology Association
Islamic Seminary (Hawza) of Qum
Vol.8, No.29, July-September 2020

Proprietor: Islamic Theology Association,
Qum Seminary (Hawza)

Manager: Hadi Sadeqi

Editor-in-Cheif: Reza Berenjkar

Editorial Manager: Reza Javadi Moghaddam

Editor: Asieh Ostadi

Translated to Arabic by: Heidar Masjedi

Translated to English by: Seyed Lutfullah Jalali

Layout: Masoumeh Norouzi

ISSN: 2345-3788

Address: Islamic Theology Association, Islamic
Seminary (Hawza), First Left Alley, Alley No.2,
Jomhoori Eslami Boulevard, Qum, Iran

PO Box: 3765-133

Telephon: 0098-25-32928546

Tsms: 30007227007705

Site: www.tkalam.ir / www.ikq.ir

Email: Info@Tkalam.ir / Info@Ikq.ir

Price: 150/000 Rials